#### Lincoln High

714 Lincoln Road McClellanville, SC 29458

**Grades** 9–12 High School

**Enrollment** 150 Students

Principal Michell N. Glover 843-577-0970

**Superintendent** Dr. Maria L. Goodloe–Johnson 843–937–6319

**Board Chair** Ms. Nancy Cook 873–760–2635

## THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL

# $2006^{R}$

### REPORT CARD

#### ABSOLUTE RATING

AVERAGE

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

1 4 9 3 13

#### IMPROVEMENT RATING

UNSATISFACTORY

#### ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

YES

This school met 5 out of 5 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

#### SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org Lincoln High 10/30/06 1001011

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD							
Year	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress				
2003	Unsatisfactory	Average	No				
2004	Below Average	Excellent	Yes				
2005	Good	Excellent	No				
2006	Average	Unsatisfactory	Yes				

#### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS									
		Our School		'	h Schools w				
			_	Stu	dents Like O	urs			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006			
Passed 2 subtests	82.4	83.3	83.3	61.4	54.8	61.8			
Passed 1 subtest	11.8	11.1	N/A	19.0	23.4	17.5			
Passed no subtests	5.9	5.6	16.7	19.6	21.7	21.2			

HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	97.0%	86.8%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP		
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	0.0	3.1
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	0.0	3.2
Seniors who met the grade point average	36.4	34.8

<sup>\*</sup>Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	32	147
Number of Diplomas	25	97
Rate	78.1%	69.0%

Lincoln High 10/30/06 1001011

END OF COURSE TESTS							
		High Schools with					
Percent of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our School	Students Like Ours					
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	83.0	68.8					
English 1	56.5	51.2					
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	40.0	36.3					
Physical Science	29.8	23.8					
All Subjects	53.9	45.2					

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROU	PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS									
	HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2006		Eligibility Schola		Graduation Rate					
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective			
All Students	33	97.0	33	0.0	25	78.1	Yes			
Gender										
Male	15	93.3	14	0.0	11	81.8	N/A			
Female	18	100.0	19	0.0	20	80.0	N/A			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	1	100.0	2	0.0	1	100.0	N/A			
African American	32	96.9	31	0.0	30	80.0	N/A			
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
Non disabled	31	96.8	32	0.0	30	83.3	N/A			
Disabilities other than speech	2	100.0	1	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Migrant Status										
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Non-migrant	33	97.0	33	0.0	32	78.1	N/A			
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Non-Limited English Proficient	33	97.0	33	0.0	32	78.1	N/A			
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	27	96.3	25	80.0	25	80.0	N/A			
Full-pay meals	6	100.0	7	0.0	7	71.4	N/A			

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

10/30/06 1001011

HSAP PERFORMANCE BY GROU	
	ID

HSAP PERFORMANCE BY GRO	· ,	-,-			-,-	-,	,		,
	/ 1/2	<u>.</u> /	% Below Basis	ફ /	/ ,	. / .	% Proficient and Advanced	<u>۾</u> / ڇ	* / E
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	, / &	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and	Performance Objection	Participation Objective
	1 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	· / · · · · · ·	/ §	/ %	\$	\$\frac{1}{2}\text{\$\psi}			
		/ %	/ %	/ ~~	1 %	/ %	P. P.	[ ] a ig	\\@ <i>`</i> @
En	glish/Lan				/	1			
All Students	30	100.0	14.8	44.4	29.6	11.1	48.1	Yes	Yes
Gender		100.0			20.0		1011	1.00	. 00
Male	18	100.0	12.5	37.5	43.8	6.3	50.0	N/A	N/A
Female	12	100.0	18.2	54.5	9.1	18.2	45.5	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
African American	30	100.0	14.8	44.4	29.6	11.1	48.1	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status		,	,	,	,	,	,		
Not Disabled	24	100.0	4.5	50.0	31.8	13.6	54.5	N/A	N/A
Disabled	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	30	100.0	14.8	44.4	29.6	11.1	48.1	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency		NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A		1/0
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient Socio-Economic Status	30	100.0	14.8	44.4	29.6	11.1	48.1	N/A	N/A
Subsidized meals	24	100.0	13.6	40.9	36.4	9.1	54.5	I/S	I/S
Full-pay meals	6	100.0 I/S	13.0 I/S	40.9 I/S	30.4 I/S	9.1 I/S	04.5 I/S	N/A	N/A
, ,	l 6 Vathemati						1 1/3	IN//A	IN/ <i>F</i> A

Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 50.0%										
All Students	30	100.0	14.8	33.3	44.4	7.4	74.1	Yes	Yes	
Gender										
Male	18	100.0	12.5	31.3	43.8	12.5	75.0	N/A	N/A	
Female	12	100.0	18.2	36.4	45.5	N/A	72.7	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S	
African American	30	100.0	14.8	33.3	44.4	7.4	74.1	I/S	I/S	
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S	
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S	
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S	
Disability Status										
Not Disabled	24	100.0	4.5	36.4	50.0	9.1	81.8	N/A	N/A	
Disabled	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	
Migrant Status										
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-Migrant	30	100.0	14.8	33.3	44.4	7.4	74.1	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S	
Non-Limited English Proficient	30	100.0	14.8	33.3	44.4	7.4	74.1	N/A	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	24	100.0	13.6	31.8	45.5	9.1	72.7	I/S	I/S	
Full-pay meals	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	

Lincoln High 10/30/06 1001011

SCHOOL PROFILE

SCHOOL PROFILE	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 150)			LINC OUIS	
Retention rate Attendance rate	2.7% 94.9%		9.8% 94.9%	7.0% 95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	0.0% 8.7%		3.5% 15.5%	7.9% 12.3%
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	20.0% 8.0%	- p	13.8% 2.2%	9.5% 1.2%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	32.0% N/A		5.9% N/A	11.2% N/A
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship* Annual dropout rate	0.0% 1.6%	Down from 4.6%	3.0% 3.2%	10.2% 2.8%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	14.8%	'	3.6%	3.5%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	93	Up from 85	282	448
Students participating in worked-based experiences	4.0%		18.9%	24.2%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	72.3%	Down from 77.7%	73.3%	80.0%
Career/technology completers placed * Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem Teachers (n= 19)	100.0% ents.	No change	98.9%	99.1%
Teachers (II= 19) Teachers with advanced degrees	52.6%	Up from 47.6%	49.3%	55.5%
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV	Op 110111 47:070	N/AV	N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	23.3%	N/A	12.3%	9.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	13.3%	Down from 23.5%	15.7%	9.9%
Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate	74.1% 95.4%	Down from 77.8% Up from 95.1%	81.8% 95.0%	86.3% 95.3%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher		Up 11.3% Up from 22.3 days	\$41,032 11.4 days	\$42,943 11.2 days
School				
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	1.0 15.5 to 1	Down from 2.0 Up from 12.6 to 1	3.0 22.2 to 1	3.0 25.7 to 1
Prime instructional time	87.3%		87.6%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*		Up 2.3%	\$8,237	\$6,792
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* Percent of expenditures for instruction*	43.4% 54.1%	Down from 49.7%	51.5% 59.0%	55.3% 61.1%
Opportunities in the arts	Poor	Down from Good	Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	99.0%		88.3%	92.8%
SACS accreditation	Yes		Yes	Yes
Character development  * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Good	No change	Good	Good
Classes in low powerty schools not tought by high	v qualified tooch		District	State 6.2%
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highl Classes in high poverty schools not taught by high			10.7%	10.2%
States and might poronty someone flot taught by high	, quaimou todoi	State Obie		ate Objective

Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers

Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers

10.5%

10.2%

State Objective

Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in this school

Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in this school

Student attendance in this school

onumber of the school of th

Lincoln High 10/30/06 1001011

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Lincoln High School is focusing on the honor that achievement and success brings. We have been removed from the state's "needs improvement" list and are working to maintain our gains. Results from the High School Assessment Program (HSAP) Test continue to show that our students are performing well in comparison to other schools in our district and in the state. High achievement has once again allowed Lincoln High the honor of being a recipient of the Palmetto Gold Award. This accomplishment has once again reinforced that the administration, faculty, staff, and students of Lincoln High are intently focused on preparing for the victorious future that lies ahead.

Our students were involved in several activities during the 2005-2006 year. Lincoln experienced an increase in the number of students participating in extracurricular sports and activities. Our sports teams enjoyed highly competitive seasons as the entire school, parents, and community celebrated the magnificent victories of our Yellowjacket soccer team. Our students appreciated the opportunity to participate in events associated with the 2005 MOJA Arts Festival. They traveled to Charleston to view the production, "A Star Ain't Nothing But a Hole in the Sky." Our academic clubs and teams placed well among other schools in the state at a variety of competitions and contests.

Lincoln has diligently worked to provide opportunities for extra help to all of our students. Our year-long enrichment and remediation program known as Extended Academic Learning Time (ExALT) provided our students with an extra period during the school day that catered to their needs as they were preparing for the HSAP, End of Course Tests, SAT, and other post secondary plans. Additional opportunities were available to students after school during our Homework Center and from Duke Professor and McClellanville native, Dr. Theodore Rosengarten. Lincoln High sustained its participation in the Southern Regional Education Board's (SREB) High Schools That Work (HSTW) school reform model. This grant makes it possible for Lincoln to upgrade technology and software to keep teachers up to date in current technologies and implement our Third Wednesday Professional Development Series. This year we focused on Quality Assignments and Assessments. Lincoln faculty members also participated in SREB Leadership Modules sponsored by the Wachovia Grant Foundation. The Charleston County School District Coherent Curriculum was prominently included in our instructional program. The faculty and staff will continue their yearly instructional retreat to plan for the next school year. We engage in thought-provoking sessions to develop strategies that will aid us in accomplishing our mission for Lincoln High School.

The administration, faculty, staff, students, parents, and community are so proud of all of the accomplishments this year. Our goal is to sustain the progress that we have made while working to increase student achievement as the benchmark moves higher. There is still so much to do to continue the CPE Plan and to keep Lincoln High on the continuous road to success.

Michell N. Glover, Principal Cheryl Cook and Verdell Mercer, School Improvement Council Co-Chairs

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	20	34	33
Percent satisfied with learning environment	80.0%	88.2%	90.9%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	85.0%	88.2%	90.9%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	21.1%	97.0%	90.9%

<sup>\*</sup>Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.